arXiv:1612.09362v1 [math.NT] 30 Dec 2016

ON THE TAME KERNELS OF IMAGINARY CYCLIC QUARTIC FIELDS WITH CLASS NUMBER ONE

ZHANG LONG AND XU KEJIAN

Abstract. J.Tate has determined the group $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$, called the tame kernel, for six quadratic imaginary number fields $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$, where $d = 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 15$. With a modification of Tate's method, H.Qin has done the same for $d = 6, 35$, M.Skalba for $d = 19, 20$, J.Browkin for $d = 23, 31$, and Belabas and Gangl, with help of computers, for all d up to 1000 with only 7 exceptions. The cubic field case has been investigated by Browkin. As for the quartic field case, Guo has proved that $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$ is trivial when $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$; in a recent paper, the authors proved that $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$ is also trivial when $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5) = \mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(5+2\sqrt{5})}\Big)$ (a cyclic quartic field), which was once conjectured by Browkin under the assumption of the Lichtenbaum conjecture. In the present paper, we investigate the case of more general imaginary cyclic quartic field $F = \mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(D+B\sqrt{D})}\Big)$, in particular, with class number one.

When S is a set of primes of F, containing the infinite ones, according to a theorem of Bass and Tate the tame kernel is contained in the natural image of $U_S \otimes U_S$ in K_2F (U_S is the S-unit group of F) if S contains all finite primes of F up to some bound. A theoretical bound for any number field has been obtained by R.Groenewegen, but unfortunately the bound is usually very large. So the problem is reduced to that of decreasing the theoretical bound to a manageable bound and the main difficulty is the large-scale data emerged in the process of computation. To overcome this difficulty, in this paper, we have done:

(1) the PARI's functions are invoked in C++ codes;

(2) the parallel programming approach is used in C++ codes;

(3) in the design of algorithms and codes, the object-oriented viewpoint is used, so an extensible program is obtained.

As a result, modifying the theoretical bound in the imaginary quartic field case, we prove that $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$ is trivial when $F = \mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(D+B\sqrt{D})}\Big)$ with $B = 1, D = 2$ or $B = 2, D = 13$.

1. Introduction

Let F be a number field and $\mathcal{O}_F$ the ring of algebraic integers of F, and let $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$ denote the K_2 of $\mathcal{O}_F$. Garland ([10]) proved that $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$ is a finite abelian group. However, $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$ can be regarded as tame kernel.

In fact, for a finite prime place v, let $\mathcal{P}_v$ be the prime ideal corresponding to v, and let $k_v = \mathcal{O}_F/\mathcal{P}_v$ and k_v^* the multiplicative group of k_v. Let K_2F be the Milnor

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 19C99, 19F15.

Key words and phrases. tame kernel, cyclic quartic field, multi-threaded parallel computing, Object-Oriented Programm.

K_2-group. Then we have the well-known tame homomorphism:

$$\partial_v : K_2F \to k_v^*$$

defined by

$$\partial_v(\{x,y\}) = (-1)^{v(x)v(y)}\frac{x^{v(y)}}{y^{v(x)}}(\mathrm{mod}\,\mathcal{P}_v),$$

where $v(x), v(y)$ denote the valuations of x, y with respect to the prime v respectively, and thus we have

$$\partial = \bigoplus_v \partial_v : K_2F \to \bigoplus_v k_v^*,$$

where v runs over all finite places. The kernel $\ker\partial$ is called the tame kernel of the field F. D.Quillen ([19])proved that $\ker\partial = K_2\mathcal{O}_F$.

There is no an effective algorithm for determining the tame kernel of a given number field directly, because it is defined noneffectively, The first method of determining the tame kernel of a given number field was proposed by J.Tate ([21]). Now, we describe Tate's method in more details.

Let Nv be the number $|k_v|$, which is called the norm of v, and let $v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_n, \ldots$ be all finite places of F ordered in such a way that $Nv_i \leq Nv_{i+1}$, for $i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$.

Let $S_m = \{v_1, \cdots, v_m\}$ $(S_0 = \emptyset)$, and let

$$\mathcal{O}_m = \{a \in F : v(a) \geq 0, v \notin S_m\},$$
$$U_m = \{a \in F : v(a) = 0, v \notin S_m\}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{O}_0$ and U_0 are just the ring of algebraic integers and the group of units respectively.

Let $K_2^{S_m}F$ be the subgroup of K_2F generated by symbols $\{x, y\}$, where $x, y \in U_m$. Then we have $K_2F = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} K_2^{S_m}F$. Clearly, ∂_{v_m} induces the homomorphism

$$\partial_{v_m} : \frac{K_2^{S_m}F}{K_2^{S_{m-1}}F} \longrightarrow k_{v_m}^*.$$

Bass and Tate ([1]) proved that for sufficiently large m, ∂_{v_m} is isomorphic, which implies

$$K_2\mathcal{O}_F = \ker\Big(\partial : K_2^{S_m}F \longrightarrow \coprod_{v\in S_m} k_v^*\Big).$$

Thus, if we can make the large m as small as possible and get sufficiently many relations satisfied by elements of $K_2^{S_m}F$, then we may determine the tame kernel $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$. So the problem is reduced to finding conditions for ∂_{v_m} to be isomorphic for sufficiently large m. The conditions were found by Tate.

Assume that the prime ideal $\mathcal{P}_m$ of $\mathcal{O}_m$ corresponding to v_m is generated by π_m. Define the morphisms:

$$\alpha : U_m \longrightarrow \frac{K_2^{S_m}F}{K_2^{S_{m-1}}F}, \qquad \alpha(u) = \{u, \pi_m\}(\mathrm{mod}\,K_2^{S_{m-1}}F),$$
$$\beta : U_m \longrightarrow k_{v_m}^*, \qquad \beta(u) = u(\mathrm{mod}\,\pi_m),$$

where $u \in U_{m-1}$. Then the conditions found by Tate are presented in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *([21]) Suppose that prime ideal $\mathcal{P}$ corresponding to a finite place $v \notin S_m$ is generated by $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_F$ and that U_1' is a group generated by $(1+\pi U_m)\bigcap U_m$. If there are subsets W_m, C_m, G_m of U_m satisfying the following conditions:*
(i) $W_m \subseteq C_m U_1'$ and U_m is generated by W_m,
(ii) $C_m G_m \subseteq C_m U_1'$ and k_v^* is generated by $\beta(G_m)$,
(iii) $1 \in C_m \bigcap ker\beta \subseteq U_1'$,
then ∂_v is an isomorphism.

Hence, according to Tate's method, to determine the tame kernel of a given number field, it suffices to construct suitable subsets W_m, C_m, G_m of U_m and determine the bound of m.

Using his method, Tate could give the analysis for the six first imaginary quadratic cases, i.e. the cases of smallest discriminants, because in these cases the bound of m is very small. More precisely, let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$. Then Tate proved that $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$ is trivial if $d = 1, 2, 3, 11$, and $K_2\mathcal{O}_F \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ if $d = 7, 15$.

Subsequently, Qin ([17, 18]) investigated the cases $d = 6$ and 35 with a modification of the choice of the subset C_m in Tate's method, and nearly at the same time, Skałba ([20]) gave the computations of the cases $d = 5$ and 19 with the help of his generalized Thue theorem (GTT); essentially, it is also a modification of the choice of C_m. After that, for quadratic fields Browkin improved Skałba's method to get a more accurate bound of m, which allowed him to compute the cases $d = 23$ and 31 ([4, 5]). It should be pointed out that all of these works were done by hand.

The further computations for quadratic fields are due to Belabas and Gangl who used computers and determined the tame kernel for all d up to 1000 with only 7 exceptions. ([2])

The tame kernels of cubic fields had been investigated by Browkin in [7]. His numerical computations were performed using the package PARI/GP.

The cases of quartic fields are more complicated. Using Skałba's GTT, Guo proved that $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$ is trivial when $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$ (see [12]). He did it also by hand. When $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-(5+2\sqrt{5})})$, under the assumption of the Lichtenbaum conjecture, Browkin once conjectured in the paper ([6]) that the tame kernel $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$ is trivial. In a recent paper, we confirmed Browkin's conjecture. However, the arithmetic properties of field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ are much more complicated than those of quadratic fields and biquadratic fields. Therefore the discussion is longer, and more cases are considered. Actually, we have to use PARI/GP and some other algorithms.

R.Groenewegen ([11]) gave a theoretical bound of m for a general number field. For a given number field, if the theoretical bound is good enough, that is, if it is a manageable bound, then through constructing enough relations, we may determine the tame kernel of the given number field. In this paper, for the cyclic quartic field we also find a way to obtain the theoretical bound. Moreover, our bounds are better Groenewegen's for some cyclic quartic fields (Remark 4.7). But, actually these theoretical bounds may be still far from being manageable. This weak point makes the concrete computation nearly impossible for a higher degree number field, even for a cyclic quartic field.

So a natural problem arises:

Problem 1: *Whether one can give a practical method to decrease the theoretical bound to a manageable bound ?*

Belabas and Gangl ([2]) first considered this problem. In order to get a manageable bound of m, they proceed as follows.

Let $T = S \cup \{v\}$ and assume that $K_2\mathcal{O}_F \subseteq K_2^T F$. They want to prove that, in fact, we already have $K_2\mathcal{O}_F \subseteq K_2^S F$. This will be used in the following situation: starting from Browkin's initial S, we iterate this process, successively truncating S by deleting its last element with respect to the given ordering, hoping to reduce the set of places to a manageable size..

In order to realize their plan, Balabas and Gangl ([2]) use Tate's method, that is, they construct subsets W, C, G to decrease the number $|S|$. In particular, to construct the set C, they use three algorithms:

a) Fincke and Pohst's algorithm;

b) Method of lattice;

c) LLL-algorithm.

Balabas and Gangl's plan was eventually adapted for arbitrary number fields and implemented in the PARI/GP scripting language, but so far, as they pointed out ([2]), parts of the program remain specific to the imaginary quadratic case.

In the pesent paper, we try to build a software architecture to be extensible and reusable for computing the tame kernel of a general number field, with the cases of imaginary cyclic quartic fields with class number one as examples. Moreover, the architecture can be made as a base on which more tame kernels of number fields can be computed.

However, during constructing the architecture, many invariants of number fields and the sophisticated relationships among them must be dealt with. Thus, it is nearly impossible to build an extensible and reusable architecture if we design the algorithms and create the codes with the process-oriented viewpoint. So we are stimulated to reconsider the question on how to compute the tame kernel of a number field with the object-oriented viewpoint, which is regarded as a method appropriated to develop large-scale complex systems by the software professionals today. Then based on this viewpoint, we look on the question as a software engineering. Moreover, on the object-oriented method, on the one hand the invariants of a general number field are corresponded to the objects which can be encapsulated by the class, and on the other hand the relationships among the invariants can be abstracted by the message passing mechanism. Thus, the embryonic form of the architecture can be created.

However, during constructing the architecture, we meet two difficulties.

One difficulty is how to create the codes which can be used to compute invariants of a number field. Though some authors have designed some excellent algorithms to do those, the workload is so burdensome that it is almost impossible to implement so much algorithms for the computation of tame kernels. So it may be the only viable option to use the third-party libraries to obtain the invariants. The PARI/GP system, primarily aimed at number theorists, is a package that is capable of doing formal computations on recursive types at high speed, and PARI is a C library, which allows for fast computations and can be called from a high-level language application (for instance, written in C, C++, Pascal, Fortran, Perl, or Python). The advantage calling library of PARI is that it will typically run three to four times faster than running the GP scripts. Then, PARI library, looked on as a reliable component, provides a powerful support to our program.

The other difficulty we meet is how to do with the large-scale data emerging in the process of computation. In this study, we find that the amount of computation of tame kernels grows explosively as the discriminant and degree of extension of number fields get larger. In [2] Belabas and Gangl have computed some tame kernels of the quartic fields with absolute values of discriminants at most 125 and the workloads in computation of the tame kernels of these quartic fields are nearly equal to that of $F=\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$. But now, as an example, we compute the tame kernel of $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-(13+2\sqrt{13})})$ whose discriminant is 2917, and we find that the workloads for the computation of the tame kernels of $F=\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ and $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-(13+2\sqrt{13})})$ are not to be mentioned in the same breath.

In fact, in the case of $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-(13+2\sqrt{13})})$, firstly we write script codes with PARI/GP to compute its tame kernel. After deploying the codes on PC and running about 24 hours, we make a rough estimate of running time. It needs at least one year! So we have to admit that these script codes are not Time-base. Then in order to decrease the running time, we make up our mind using the Multi-threaded Parallel Technology. Secondly we try to deploy the script codes to 8 PCs and "parallel" the computing task. Through running continuously about one month, we are resigned to obtain the result. At the same time, the fact that the running time is decreased greatly encourages us to develop an extensible, reusable and component-based application by associating the Multi-threaded Parallel Technology and PARI library with the implemented architecture. Lastly, deploying the application on large computation server and running about 100 hours, we obtain the result of tame kernel of $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-(13+2\sqrt{13})})$.

Thus, we are sure from the above that the application we have developed also works for the computation of the tame kernel of a number field with discriminant less than 3000.

As a result, modifying the theoretical bound in the imaginary quartic field case, we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $F=\mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(D+B\sqrt{D})}\Big)$ *be cyclic quartic field. Then the tame kernel* $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$ *is trivial in the following cases:*

(i) ([23]) $B=2, D=5$, *i.e.,* $F=\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$;

(ii) $B=1, D=2$;

(iii) $B=2, D=13$.

Remark 1.3. (i) We have $h_F=1$ in the three cases in Theorem 1.2.

(ii) By the present algorithms, the computation of the tame kernel of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ is quite easy.

In the following, the conditions $W_m\subseteq C_mU_1'$ and $C_mG_m\subseteq C_mU_1'$ in Theorem 1.1 will be referred to be condition I and condition II respectively.

2. The cyclic quartic fields

The following explit representation of a cyclic quartic field is proved in the reference [13].

Lemma 2.1. *If F is a real or imaginary cyclic quartic extensin of $\mathbb{Q}$, then there are integers A,B,C and D such that*

$$F=\mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{A(D+B\sqrt{D})}\Big)=\mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{A(D-B\sqrt{D})}\Big) \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} A \text{ is squarefree and odd,} \\ D=B^2+C^2 \text{ is squarefree, } B>0,\ C>0, \\ A \text{ and } D \text{ are relatively prime.} \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover, any field satisfying (2.1) and (2.2) is cyclic quartic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, and the representation of F is unique in the sense that if we have another representation, say $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{A_1(D_1+B_1\sqrt{D_1})})$, where $A_1,\ B_1,\ C_1$ and D_1 are integers satisfying the conditions of (2), then $A=A_1,\ B=B_1,\ C=C_1$and $D=D_1$.

On the other hand, it is given in the reference [13] a table of all the imaginary cyclic quartic fields $F=\mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{A(D+B\sqrt{D})}\Big)$, where $A,\ B,\ C$ and D are integers satisfying the condition (2.2). Now, we can list all imaginary cyclic quartic fields with class number one as follows.

$$\text{Case}\,1: F=\mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(5+2\sqrt{5})}\Big),\ \text{where } A=-1,B=2,C=1,D=5;$$

$$\text{Case}\,2: F=\mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(13+2\sqrt{13})}\Big),\ \text{where } A=-1,B=2,C=3,D=13;$$

$$\text{Case}\,3: F=\mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(2+\sqrt{2})}\Big),\ \text{where } A=-1,B=1,C=1,D=2;$$

$$\text{Case}\,4: F=\mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(29+2\sqrt{29})}\Big),\ \text{where } A=-1,B=2,C=5,D=29;$$

$$\text{Case}\,5: F=\mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(37+6\sqrt{37})}\Big),\ \text{where } A=-1,B=6,C=1,D=37;$$

$$\text{Case}\,6: F=\mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(53+2\sqrt{53})}\Big),\ \text{where } A=-1,B=2,C=7,D=53;$$

$$\text{Case}\,7: F=\mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(61+6\sqrt{61})}\Big),\ \text{where } A=-1,B=6,C=5,D=61;$$

In [14], the integral basis of the cyclic quartic field $F=\mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{A(D+B\sqrt{D})}\Big)$ is given as follows.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $F=\mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{A(D+B\sqrt{D})}\Big)$ be a cyclic quartic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, where A,B,C and D satisfy the condition (2.2) in Lemma 2.1. Set*

$$a'=\sqrt{A(D+B\sqrt{D})},\quad b'=\sqrt{A(D-B\sqrt{D})}.$$

Then an integral basis for F is given as follows.

$$(i)\quad \{1,\sqrt{D},a',b'\}\ if\ D\equiv 0(mod\,2);$$

$$(ii)\quad \{1,\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{D}),a',b'\}\ if\ D\equiv B\equiv 1(mod\,2);$$

$$(iii)\quad \{1,\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{D}),\frac{1}{2}(a'+b'),\frac{1}{2}(a'-b')\}$$
$$if\ D\equiv 1(mod\,2),B\equiv 0(mod\,2),A+B\equiv 3(mod\,4);$$

$$(iv)\quad \{1,\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{D}),\frac{1}{4}(1+\sqrt{D}+a'+b'),\frac{1}{4}(1-\sqrt{D}+a'-b')\}$$
$$if\ D\equiv 1(mod\,2),B\equiv 0(mod\,2),A+B\equiv 1(mod4),A\equiv C(mod\,4);$$

$$(v)\quad \{1,\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{D}),\frac{1}{4}(1+\sqrt{D}+a'-b'),\frac{1}{4}(1-\sqrt{D}+a'+b')\}$$
$$if\ D\equiv 1(mod\,2),B\equiv 0(mod\,2),A+B\equiv 1(mod\,4),A\equiv -C(mod\,4);$$

Hence, the integral bases of Case 2, of Case 1, Case 3, Case 4, Case 5, Case 7 and of Case 6 are respectively

$$\{1,\sqrt{D},a',b'\};$$
$$\{1,\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{D}),\frac{1}{4}(1+\sqrt{D}+a'-b'),\frac{1}{4}(1-\sqrt{D}+a'+b')\};$$
$$\{1,\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{D}),\frac{1}{4}(1+\sqrt{D}+a'+b'),\frac{1}{4}(1-\sqrt{D}+a'-b')\}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $F=\mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(D+B\sqrt{D})}\Big)$ be a cyclic quartic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ with class number $h(F)=1$, where B,C and D satisfy the condition (2.2) in Lemma 2.1. Set $\beta=i\sqrt{D+B\sqrt{D}}$ and $F=\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$. Then the following statements hold.*
(i) The minimal polynomial of β over $\mathbb{Q}$ is

$$f(x)=x^4+2Dx^2+(D^2-DB^2).$$

(ii) The four conjugated roots of β are

$$\beta_1=\beta=ia,\ \beta_2=\bar{\beta}=-ia,\ \beta_3=ib,\ \beta_4=-ib,$$

where $a=\sqrt{D+B\sqrt{D}}$ and $b=\sqrt{D-B\sqrt{D}}$.
(iii) The Galois group $Gal(F/\mathbb{Q})$ equals $\langle\sigma\rangle$ with σ satisfying

$$\sigma(\beta_1)=\beta_4,\ \sigma(\beta_2)=\beta_3,\ \sigma(\beta_3)=\beta_1,\ \sigma(\beta_4)=\beta_2.$$

(iv) The rank $r(U)$ of unit group U of F is 1. We denote the fundamental unit by ξ.
(v) In Case 1, Case 3, Case 4, Case 5, Case 7, the field F has the same integral base, which is

$$\gamma_0=1,\gamma_1=\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{D}),\gamma_2=\frac{1}{4}(1+\sqrt{D}+\beta-\beta_3),\gamma_3=\frac{1}{4}(1-\sqrt{D}+\beta+\beta_3).$$

Moreover, the transition matrix from $1,\beta,\beta^2,\beta^3$ to $\gamma_0,\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3$ is

$$M_1=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ \frac{B-D}{2B} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2B} & 0\\ \frac{B-D}{4B} & \frac{BC-B^2-D}{4BC} & -\frac{1}{4B} & -\frac{1}{4BC}\\ \frac{B+D}{4B} & \frac{BC+B^2+D}{4BC} & \frac{1}{4B} & \frac{1}{4BC}\end{pmatrix} \tag{2.3}$$

(vi) In Case 2 and Case 6, the field F has the same integral base, which is

$$\gamma_0 = 1, \gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{D}), \gamma_2 = \frac{1}{4}(1+\sqrt{D}+\beta+\beta_3), \gamma_3 = \frac{1}{4}(1-\sqrt{D}+\beta-\beta_3).$$

Moreover, the transition matrix from $1, \beta, \beta^2, \beta^3$ to $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ is

$$M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{B-D}{2B} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2B} & 0 \\ \frac{B-D}{4B} & \frac{BC+B^2+D}{4BC} & -\frac{1}{4B} & \frac{1}{4BC} \\ \frac{B+D}{4B} & \frac{BC-B^2-D}{4BC} & \frac{1}{4B} & -\frac{1}{4BC} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. The proofs of (i),(ii),(iii) and (iv) are easy. So we only prove (v) and (vi).

We will express $\beta_3 = ib$ by $1, \beta, \beta^2, \beta^3$. Assume that

$$\beta_3 = ib = x_0 + x_1 ia + x_2 (ia)^2 + x_3 (ia)^3, \tag{2.5}$$

where $a = \sqrt{D + B\sqrt{D}}$, $b = \sqrt{D - B\sqrt{D}}$ and $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then the following equations hold:

$$x_0 - a^2 x_2 = 0 \tag{2.6}$$

$$b - a x_1 + a^3 x_3 = 0 \tag{2.7}$$

From (2.6), we have $x_0 = x_2 = 0$. However, from (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} b^2 &= a^2 (x_1 - a^2 x_3)^2 \\ &= a^2 (x_1^2 + a^4 x_3^2 - 2a^2 x_1 x_3) \\ &= (D + B\sqrt{D})[x_1^2 + (D + B\sqrt{D})^2 x_3^2 - 2(D + B\sqrt{D}) x_1 x_3] \\ &= (D + B\sqrt{D})[x_1^2 + (D^2 + B^2 D) x_3^2 - 2D x_1 x_3 + (2BD x_3^2 - 2B x_1 x_3)\sqrt{D}] \\ &= [D x_1^2 + (D^3 + 3B^2 D^2) x_3^2 - 2(D^2 + B^2 D) x_1 x_3] \\ &+ [B x_1^2 + (3BD^2 + B^3 D) x_3^2 - 4BD x_1 x_3]\sqrt{D}. \end{aligned}$$

By comparing with the both sides of the equality, we can get the system of equations on x_1 and x_3

$$D = D x_1^2 + (D^3 + 3B^2 D^2) x_3^2 - 2(D^2 + B^2 D) x_1 x_3 \tag{2.8}$$

$$-B = B x_1^2 + (3BD^2 + B^3 D) x_3^2 - 4BD x_1 x_3. \tag{2.9}$$

i.e.

$$1 = x_1^2 + (D^2 + 3B^2 D) x_3^2 - 2(D + B^2) x_1 x_3 \tag{2.10}$$

$$-1 = x_1^2 + (3D^2 + B^2 D) x_3^2 - 4D x_1 x_3. \tag{2.11}$$

Adding the two equations, we have

$$x_1^2 + (2D^2 + 2B^2 D) x_3^2 - (3D + B^2) x_1 x_3 = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

If $x_3 = 0$, clearly we have $x_1 = 0$, impossible. Thus $\frac{x_1}{x_3}$ is a root of the equation:

$$x^2 - (3D + B^2)x + 2D(D + B^2) = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

Clearly, $2D, D + B^2$ are the two roots of (2.13), so

$$\frac{x_1}{x_3} = 2D \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{x_1}{x_3} = D + B^2.$$

If $x_1 = 2Dx_3$, then from (2.10), we can get that $(D^2 - B^2D)x_3^2 = 1$. So we have

$$x_3 = \pm\frac{\sqrt{D}}{CD}, \quad x_1 = \pm\frac{2\sqrt{D}}{C}.$$

However, putting these expressions in (2.11), we get immediately a contradiction. Hence, we must have $x_1 = (D + B^2)x_3$. Therefore from (2.11) we get

$$-1 = (B^2 + D)^2x_3^2 + (3D^2 + B^2D)x_3^2 - 4D(D + B^2)x_3^2 = -B^2C^2x_3^2.$$

Thus $x_3 = \pm\frac{1}{BC}$. We can check that $x_0 = x_2 = 0, x_1 = \frac{D+B^2}{BC}$ and $x_3 = \frac{1}{BC}$ satisfy the equation (2.5), which means

$$\beta_3 = \frac{D + B^2}{BC} \cdot \beta + \frac{1}{BC} \cdot \beta^3.$$

Note that $\sqrt{D} = \frac{-D}{B} - \frac{\beta^2}{B}$. Then, in Case 1, Case 3, Case 4, Case 5, Case 7, we can express $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ by $1, \beta, \beta^2, \beta^3$ as follows.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{B-D}{2B} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2B} & 0 \\ \frac{B-D}{4B} & \frac{BC-B^2-D}{4BC} & -\frac{1}{4B} & -\frac{1}{4BC} \\ \frac{B+D}{4B} & \frac{BC+B^2+D}{4BC} & \frac{1}{4B} & \frac{1}{4BC} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \beta \\ \beta^2 \\ \beta^3 \end{pmatrix} = M_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \beta \\ \beta^2 \\ \beta^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Similarly, in Case 2 and Case 6, we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{B-D}{2B} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2B} & 0 \\ \frac{B-D}{4B} & \frac{BC+B^2+D}{4BC} & -\frac{1}{4B} & \frac{1}{4BC} \\ \frac{B+D}{4B} & \frac{BC-B^2-D}{4BC} & \frac{1}{4B} & -\frac{1}{4BC} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \beta \\ \beta^2 \\ \beta^3 \end{pmatrix} = M_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \beta \\ \beta^2 \\ \beta^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

3. The tame kernel of an imaginary cyclic quartic field

3.1. **Lemmas.**

Lemma 3.1. *Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-(D + B\sqrt{D})})$ be a cyclic quartic field with the class number $h(F) = 1$ and let $\beta = ia$ with $a = \sqrt{D + B\sqrt{D}}$. Then, for any prime ideal $\mathcal{P}$ of F, there exists an element $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F$ satisfying*
(i) $\mathcal{P} = (\alpha)$;
(ii) $|\sigma(\xi)| \leq \left|\frac{\sigma(\alpha)}{\alpha}\right| \leq |\xi|$, where ξ is the foundanment unit of F. Moreover, we have

$$\frac{|N(\alpha)|^{\frac{1}{4}}}{|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq |\alpha| \leq \frac{|N(\alpha)|^{\frac{1}{4}}}{|\sigma(\xi)|^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Proof. Because the class number h_F is 1, the prime ideal $\mathcal{P}$ of F is a principal ideal, i.e. $\mathcal{P} = (y)$ for some $y \in \mathcal{O}_F$.

i). If $|\sigma(\xi)| \leq \left|\frac{\sigma(y)}{y}\right| \leq |\xi|$, let $\alpha = y$. Then the lemma is true.

ii). If $\left|\frac{\sigma(y)}{y}\right| > |\xi|$, since $\left|\frac{\sigma(\xi)}{\xi}\right| < 1$, there is a positive integer k satisfying

$$\left|\frac{\sigma(y)}{y}\right|\left|\frac{\sigma(\xi)}{\xi}\right|^k \leq |\xi| < \left|\frac{\sigma(y)}{y}\right|\left|\frac{\sigma(\xi)}{\xi}\right|^{k-1}. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\alpha = y\xi^k$. Then, we get $\left|\frac{\sigma(\alpha)}{\alpha}\right| \leq |\xi|$. However $\left|\frac{\sigma(\alpha)}{\alpha}\right| = |\sigma(\xi)|\left|\frac{\sigma(y\xi^{k-1})}{y\xi^{k-1}}\right| > |\sigma(\xi)||\xi| > |\sigma(\xi)|$.

iii). If $\left|\frac{\sigma(y)}{y}\right| < |\sigma(\xi)|$, as in ii), there is a positive integer k such that

$$\left|\frac{\sigma(y)}{y}\right|\left|\frac{\xi}{\sigma(\xi)}\right|^{k-1} < |\sigma(\xi)| \leq \left|\frac{\sigma(y)}{y}\right|\left|\frac{\xi}{\sigma(\xi)}\right|^{k}. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $\alpha = \frac{y}{\xi^k}$. Thus by (14),we have

$$|\sigma(\xi)| \leq \left|\frac{\sigma(\alpha)}{\alpha}\right| \leq |\xi|.$$

So

$$|\sigma(\xi)||\alpha^2| \leq |\sigma(\alpha)||\alpha| \leq |\xi||\alpha|^2.$$

Hence

$$|\sigma(\xi)|^2|\alpha^4| \leq |N(\alpha)| \leq |\xi|^2|\alpha|^4.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{|N(\alpha)|^{\frac{1}{4}}}{|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq |\alpha| \leq \frac{|N(\alpha)|^{\frac{1}{4}}}{|\sigma(\xi)|^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \tag{3.3}$$

□

We denote $[t]$ to the nearest integer number to t. Let $\{t\} = t - [t]$. So $\{t\} \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $0 \neq \alpha, x \in \mathcal{O}_F$, there is a $y \in \mathcal{O}_F$ such that*

$$|x - \alpha y| \leq c_1|\alpha|,$$

$$|\sigma(x - \alpha y)| \leq c_2|\sigma(\alpha)|,$$

where c_1, c_2 are constants depending only on the field F, i.e., on A, B, C and D. So

$$N(x - \alpha y) \leq c_1^2 c_2^2 N(\alpha).$$

Proof. Assume that $\frac{x}{\alpha} = k_0\gamma_0 + k_1\gamma_1 + k_2\gamma_2 + k_3\gamma_3$ where $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ are the integral basis of F and $k_i \in \mathbb{Q}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Let

$$y = [k_0]\gamma_0 + [k_1]\gamma_1 + [k_2]\gamma_2 + [k_3]\gamma_3 \in \mathcal{O}_F.$$

We will show that y satisfies the requirement.

Suppose that

$$z = x - y\alpha = \Big(\sum_{i=0}^{3} k_i\gamma_i\Big)\alpha - \Big(\sum_{i=0}^{3} [k_i]\gamma_i\Big)\alpha = \Big(\sum_{i=0}^{3} \{k_i\}\gamma_i\Big)\alpha = \Big(\sum_{i=0}^{3} z_i\gamma_i\Big)\alpha,$$

where $z_i = \{k_i\} \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \cap \mathbb{Q}$.

Let $M = M_1$ or M_2, and let$z' = \sum_{i=0}^{3} z_i\gamma_i$. We can compute the maximal value of $|z|$. Let $g = |z|^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g = |z|^2 &= |z'|^2|\alpha|^2 \\ &= (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)M \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -ia & -a^2 & ia^3 \\ ia & a^2 & -ia^3 & -a^4 \\ -a^2 & ia^3 & a^4 & -ia^5 \\ -ia^3 & -a^4 & ia^5 & a^6 \end{pmatrix} M^T \begin{pmatrix} z_0 \\ z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix} |\alpha|^2 \\ &= (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)MH_1M^T \begin{pmatrix} z_0 \\ z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix} |\alpha|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a^2 & 0 & -a^4 \\ -a^2 & 0 & a^4 & 0 \\ 0 & -a^4 & 0 & a^6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$h_1(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) := (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)MH_1M^T \begin{pmatrix} z_0 \\ z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By pari/gp, we can check that the values of $h_1(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)$ on those stationary are zero. Thus $h_1(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)$ reaches its maximal value on the boundary.

Hence, for any A, B, C and D, we have

$$|x - y\alpha| = |z| \leq |z'||\alpha| \leq c_1'^{\frac{1}{2}}|\alpha|,$$

where

$$c_1' = \max\{h(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) : z_i = -\frac{1}{2} \text{ or } \frac{1}{2}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}.$$

Similarly, let

$$h_2(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)MH_2M^T \begin{pmatrix} z_0 \\ z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

with

$$H_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -b^2 & 0 \\ 0 & b^2 & 0 & -b^4 \\ -b^2 & 0 & b^4 & 0 \\ 0 & -b^4 & 0 & b^6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have

$$|\sigma(x - y\alpha)| = |\sigma(z)| \leq |\sigma(z')||\sigma(\alpha)| \leq c_2'^{\frac{1}{2}}|\sigma(\alpha)|,$$

where

$$c_2' = \max\{h_2(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) : z_i = -\frac{1}{2} \text{ or } \frac{1}{2}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}.$$

However both

$$|z'|^2 = (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) M H_1 M^T \begin{pmatrix} z_0 \\ z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$|\sigma(z')|^2 = (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) M H_2 M^T \begin{pmatrix} z_0 \\ z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

are positive definite quadratic forms determined by $a > 0$ and $b > 0$. So $|z'|$ and $|\sigma(z')|$ reach maximal value at same point. Let $c_i = c_i'^{\frac{1}{2}}, i = 1, 2$. Then the proof is completed. □

3.2. **Construction of W_m, C_m, G_m.** Let $F = \mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(D + B\sqrt{D})}\Big)$ be a cyclic quartic field with the class number $h(F) = 1$, and let $S_{m+1} = \{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_{m+1}\}$, where v_i corresponds to the prime ideal $\mathcal{P}_i := \mathcal{P}_{v_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m+1$. In order to use Theorem 1.1 to compute the tame kernel $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$, we construct W_m, C_m and G_m as follows.

Firstly, by Lemma 3.1, for each i there exists an $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_F$ satisfying $\mathcal{P}_i = (\alpha_i)$ and $|\sigma(\xi)| \leq \left|\frac{\sigma(\alpha_i)}{\alpha_i}\right| \leq |\xi|$, where $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m+1$. Thus we define

$$W_m = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_m\} \bigcup \{-1, \xi\}.$$

Clearly, from the construction of W_m, we know immediately that U_m can be generated by W_m.

Secondly, let

$$C_m' = \{c \in \mathcal{O}_K : \ |c| \leq c_1|\alpha_{m+1}|, |\sigma(c)| \leq c_2|\sigma(\alpha_{m+1})|\}.$$

Then the set C_m defined to be a subset of C_m' such that $1 \in C_m$, $0 \notin C_m$ and $c_1 - c_2 \notin \mathcal{P}_{m+1}$ for any $c_1, c_2 \in C_m$. Clearly, we have $1 \in C_m \cap Ker\beta \subseteq U_1'$, which implies that condition (iii) of Theorem 1.1 is satisfied. In the following, we will prove that there must exist a C_m which satisfies condition I and condition II further.

Finally, let $\delta := \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |D|^{\frac{1}{8}}$ and define

$$G_m' = \Big\{g \in \mathcal{O}_K : |g| \leq \delta N(\mathcal{P}_{m+1})^{\frac{1}{8}}, |\sigma(g)| \leq \delta N(\sigma(\mathcal{P}_{m+1}))^{\frac{1}{8}}\Big\}.$$

When $N(\mathcal{P}_{m+1}) > \delta^8$, by GTT theorem and the proof of Lemma 1.2 in [10], there exists a subset $G_m \subseteq U_m$ with $G_m \subseteq G_m'$ such that k_v^* can be generated by $\beta(G_m)$, which means the second part of condition (ii) in Theorem 1.1 is satisfied.

3.3. **Theoretical bounds.**

3.3.1. *The bounds in imaginary cyclic quartic field case.* The following lemma is very helpful.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that the elements $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_F \bigcap U_m$ satisfy the conditions $a \equiv b (mod\, \mathcal{P}_{m+1})$ and $N(a - b) < N^2(\mathcal{P}_{m+1})$. Then $\frac{a}{b} \in U_1'$.*

Proof. See Claim 2 in the proof of Lemma 3.4 in [12]. □

Define

$$c' = \max\Big\{c_1\frac{|\sigma(\xi)|}{|\xi|} + c_2\frac{|\xi|}{|\sigma(\xi)|}, c_2\frac{|\sigma(\xi)|}{|\xi|} + c_1\frac{|\xi|}{|\sigma(\xi)|}\Big\},$$

Lemma 3.4. *If* $N(\mathcal{P}_{m+1}) \geq \Big(1 + c_1c_2 + c'\Big)^2$, *then* $W_m \subseteq C_mU_1'$, *i.e., condition I is satisfied.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, if for any $w \in W_m$ there always exists a $c \in C_m$ satisfying $c \equiv w (\mathrm{mod}\, \mathcal{P}_{m+1})$ and $N(w-c) < N^2(\mathcal{P}_{m+1})$, then we have $W_m \subseteq C_mU_1'$. So it suffices to investigate when the inequality $N(w-c) < N^2(\mathcal{P}_{m+1})$ holds.

However, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(w-c) &= |w-c||\sigma(w)-\sigma(c)||\sigma^2(w)-\sigma^2(c)||\sigma^3(w)-\sigma^3(c)| \\ &= |w-c||\bar{w}-\bar{c}||\sigma(w)-\sigma(c)||\overline{\sigma(w)}-\overline{\sigma}| \\ &= (|w-c||\sigma(w)-\sigma(c)|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

We will estimate the term $|w-c||\sigma(w)-\sigma(c)|$.

First we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|w-c||\sigma(w)-\sigma(c)| = |w\sigma(w) - w\sigma(c) - c\sigma(w) + c\sigma(c)| \\ \leq &|w\sigma(w)| + |w\sigma(c)| + |c\sigma(w)| + |c\sigma(c)| \\ = &N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w) + |w\sigma(c)| + |c\sigma(w)| + N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c). \end{aligned}$$

From the construction of W_m and C_m, we have $N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w) \leq N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{P}_{m+1})$ and $N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c) \leq c_1c_2N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{P}_{m+1})$.

Now we estimate the term $|w\sigma(c)| + |c\sigma(w)| = |c\sigma(w)| + \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w)N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)}{|c\sigma(w)|}$. By Lemma 3.1, for any $c \in C_m$ we have

$$|c| \leq c_1|\alpha_{m+1}| \leq c_1\frac{N^{\frac{1}{4}}(\alpha_{m+1})}{|\sigma(\xi)|^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

In virtue of $\Big|\frac{\sigma(\alpha_{m+1})}{\alpha_{m+1}}\Big| \leq |\xi|$ and $|N(\alpha_{m+1})| = |\alpha_{m+1}|^2|\sigma(\alpha_{m+1})|^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |c| = &\frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)}{|\sigma(c)|} \geq \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)}{c_2|\sigma(\alpha_{m+1})|} = \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)|\alpha_{m+1}|}{c_2|N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_{m+1})|} \\ \geq &\frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)N^{\frac{1}{4}}(\alpha_{m+1})}{c_2|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_{m+1})} = \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)}{c_2|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}N^{\frac{1}{4}}(\alpha_{m+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)}{c_2|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}N^{\frac{1}{4}}(\alpha_{m+1})} \leq |c| \leq \frac{c_1N^{\frac{1}{4}}(\alpha_{m+1})}{|\sigma(\xi)|^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

When $w \neq \xi \in W_m$, from the construction of W_m, we have

$$\frac{|\sigma(\xi)|}{|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}}N^{\frac{1}{4}}(w) \leq |\sigma(\xi)||w| \leq |\sigma(w)| \leq |\xi||w| \leq \frac{|\xi|}{|\sigma(\xi)|^{\frac{1}{2}}}N^{\frac{1}{4}}(w).$$

When $w = -1$ or ξ, clearly the inequality above also holds. Thus we get

$$\frac{|\sigma(\xi)|N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)N^{\frac{1}{4}}(w)}{c_2|\xi|N^{\frac{1}{4}}(\alpha_{m+1})} \leq |c\sigma(w)| \leq c_1\frac{|\xi|}{|\sigma(\xi)|}N^{\frac{1}{4}}(w)N^{\frac{1}{4}}(\alpha_{m+1}).$$

It is easy to show the function $f(x) = x + \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w)N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)}{x}$ meet its maximal value on the boundary. However the function values of $f(x)$ on boundary $x = \frac{|\sigma(\xi)|N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)N^{\frac{1}{4}}(w)}{c_2|\xi|N^{\frac{1}{4}}(\alpha_{m+1})}$ or $c_1\frac{|\xi|}{|\sigma(\xi)|}N^{\frac{1}{4}}(w)N^{\frac{1}{4}}(\alpha_{m+1})$ can be computed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{|\sigma(\xi)|N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)N^{\frac{1}{4}}(w)}{c_2|\xi|N^{\frac{1}{4}}(\alpha_{m+1})} + \frac{c_2|\xi|}{|\sigma(\xi)|}N^{\frac{1}{4}}(w)N^{\frac{1}{4}}(\mathcal{P}_{m+1}) \\
&\leq c_1\frac{|\sigma(\xi)|}{|\xi|}\frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_{m+1})N^{\frac{1}{4}}(\alpha_{m+1})}{N^{\frac{1}{4}}(\alpha_{m+1})} + \frac{c_2|\xi|}{|\sigma(\xi)|}N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_{m+1}) \\
&= \Big(c_1\frac{|\sigma(\xi)|}{|\xi|} + c_2\frac{|\xi|}{|\sigma(\xi)|}\Big)N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_{m+1})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{c_1|\xi|}{|\sigma(\xi)|}N^{\frac{1}{4}}(w)N^{\frac{1}{4}}(\mathcal{P}_{m+1}) + \frac{|\sigma(\xi)|N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)N^{\frac{1}{4}}(w)}{c_1|\xi|N^{\frac{1}{4}}(\alpha_{m+1})} \\
&\leq \frac{c_1|\xi|}{|\sigma(\xi)|}N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_{m+1}) + c_1c_2\frac{|\sigma(\xi)|}{c_1|\xi|}\frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_{m+1})N^{\frac{1}{4}}(\alpha_{m+1})}{N^{\frac{1}{4}}(\alpha_{m+1})} \\
&= \Big(c_2\frac{|\sigma(\xi)|}{|\xi|} + c_1\frac{|\xi|}{|\sigma(\xi)|}\Big)N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_{m+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$|c\sigma(w)| + |w\sigma(c)| = |c\sigma(w)| + \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w)N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)}{|c\sigma(w)|} \leq c'N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_{m+1}),$$

where $c' = \max\Big\{c_1\frac{|\sigma(\xi)|}{|\xi|} + c_2\frac{|\xi|}{|\sigma(\xi)|}, c_2\frac{|\sigma(\xi)|}{|\xi|} + c_1\frac{|\xi|}{|\sigma(\xi)|}\Big\}$. Summarily we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|N(w-c)| &= (|w-c||\sigma(w)-\sigma(c)|)^2 \\
&\leq (N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w) + |w\sigma(c)| + |c\sigma(w)| + N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c))^2 \\
&\leq \Big(1 + c_1c_2 + c'\Big)^2 N(\alpha_{m+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

So when $N(\alpha_{m+1}) = N(\mathcal{P}_{m+1}) > \Big(1 + c_1c_2 + c'\Big)^2$, we have $W_m \subseteq CU_1'$. □

Lemma 3.5. *If* $N(\alpha_{m+1}) > \Big(\frac{\delta\sqrt{c_1c_2}}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{c_1c_2\delta^2}{4} + \sqrt{c_1c_2}}\Big)^8$, *then* $C_mG_m \subseteq C_mU_1'$, *i.e., condition II is satisfied.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, in order to prove $C_mG_m \subseteq C_mU_1'$, it is sufficient to prove that for any $c \in C_m$ and $g \in G_m$ there exists a $\tilde{c} \in C_m$ such that $cg \equiv \tilde{c} \pmod{\mathcal{P}_{m+1}}$ and $N(cg - \tilde{c}) < N^2(\mathcal{P}_{m+1})$. So we should investigate when the inequality $N(cg - \tilde{c}) < N^2(\mathcal{P}_{m+1})$ holds.

Let $c, \tilde{c} \in C_m, g \in G_m$, and let $M_1, M_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with the conditions:

$$N(c) \leq M_1,\ N(\tilde{c}) \leq M_1,\ |g| \leq M_2,\ |\sigma(g)| \leq M_2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}N^{\frac{1}{2}}(cg-\tilde{c}) =&|cg-\tilde{c}||\sigma(c)\sigma(g)-\sigma(\tilde{c})|\\ \leq&(|cg|+|\tilde{c}|)(|\sigma(c)\sigma(g)|+|\sigma(\tilde{c})|)\\ \leq&|c\sigma(c)||g\sigma(g)|+M_2(|c\sigma(\tilde{c})|+|\tilde{c}\sigma(c)|)+|\tilde{c}\sigma(\tilde{c})|\\ =&N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)N^{\frac{1}{2}}(g)+M_2(|c\sigma(\tilde{c})|+|\tilde{c}\sigma(c)|)+N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{c}).\end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate the term $|c\sigma(\tilde{c})|+|\tilde{c}\sigma(c)| = |c\sigma(\tilde{c})|+\frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{c})}{|c\sigma(\tilde{c})|}$. By the definition of C_m, it is obvious that $|c| \leq c_1|\alpha_{m+1}|$ and $|c| = \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)}{|\sigma(c)|} \geq \frac{1}{|c_2\sigma(\alpha_{m+1})|}N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)$. So we have

$$\frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)}{c_2|\sigma(\alpha_{m+1})|} \leq |c| \leq c_1|\alpha_{m+1}|.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{c})}{c_1|\alpha_{m+1}|} \leq \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{c})}{|\tilde{c}|} \leq |\sigma(\tilde{c})| \leq c_2|\sigma(\alpha_{m+1})|.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{c})}{c_1c_2N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_{m+1})} \leq |c\sigma(\tilde{c})| \leq c_1c_2N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_{m+1})$$

Let $f(x) = x+\frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{c})}{x}$. It is easy to show that $f(x)$ meets the maximal value at $x = c_1c_2N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_{m+1})$. So

$$\begin{aligned}|c\sigma(\tilde{c})|+|\tilde{c}\sigma(c)| =& |c\sigma(\tilde{c})|+\frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{c})}{|c\sigma(\tilde{c})|}\\ \leq& c_1c_2N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_{m+1})+\frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{c})}{c_1c_2N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_{m+1})}\\ \leq& 2c_1c_2N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_{m+1}).\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}N^{\frac{1}{2}}(cg-\tilde{c}) \leq& N^{\frac{1}{2}}(c)N^{\frac{1}{2}}(g)+M_2(|c\sigma(\tilde{c})|+|\tilde{c}\sigma(c)|)+N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{c})\\ \leq& M_1^{\frac{1}{2}}M_2^2+2c_1c_2M_2N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{P}_{m+1})+M_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of C_m and G_m, we can take $M_1 = c_1^2c_2^2N(\alpha_{m+1})$ and $M_2 = \delta N^{\frac{1}{8}}(\alpha_{m+1})$. Hence we have

$$N^{\frac{1}{2}}(cg-\tilde{c}) \leq c_1c_2\delta^2N^{\frac{3}{4}}(\alpha_{m+1})+2\delta c_1c_2N^{\frac{5}{8}}(\alpha_{m+1})+c_1c_2N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_{m+1}).$$

So it is sufficent to consider the inequatity

$$c_1c_2\delta^2N^{\frac{3}{4}}(\alpha_{m+1})+2\delta c_1c_2N^{\frac{5}{8}}(\alpha_{m+1})+c_1c_2N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_{m+1}) < N(\alpha_{m+1}),$$

i.e.

$$N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_{m+1})-c_1c_2\delta^2N^{\frac{1}{4}}(\alpha_{m+1})-2\delta c_1c_2N^{\frac{1}{8}}(\alpha_{m+1})-c_1c_2 > 0.$$

This implies that when $N(\alpha_{m+1}) > \left(\frac{\delta\sqrt{c_1c_2}}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{c_1c_2\delta^2}{4}+\sqrt{c_1c_2}}\right)^8$, we have $N^{\frac{1}{2}}(cg-\tilde{c}) < N(\alpha_{m+1})$, as required. □

3.3.2. *Groenewegen's general bound.* For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we denote

$$K_2U_m := (U_m \otimes U_m)/\langle a \otimes b | a, b \in U_m,\ a+b=1 \text{ or } a+b=0\rangle$$

and

$$K_2^{(m)}\mathcal{O}_F = \ker\Big(K_2U_m \to \bigoplus_{Nv \le Nv_m} k_v^*\Big).$$

It is clear that there is a natural map $K_2U_m \to K_2F$. Moreover we write

$$c_F = \max\{2^{2n}\rho d^2, 2^{2n/3}, \rho^{1/3}(d\tilde{d}^2)^{2/3}, \rho d^3\}$$

where

$$d = \frac{2^n\Gamma(\frac{n+2}{2})}{(\pi n)^{n/2}}|\Delta|^{1/2}, \quad \tilde{d} = \Big(\frac{2}{\pi}\Big)^s|\Delta|^{1/2}$$

and ρ is the packing density of an n-dimensional sphere. In [11], Groenewegen proved the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *For every number field F, for $Nv_m > c_F$, the image of $K_2^{(m)}\mathcal{O}_F$ in K_2F is equal to the tame kernel of F.*

Remark 3.7. For an imaginary cyclic quartic field $\mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(D+B\sqrt{D})}\Big)$ of class number one (see Section 2), by Theorem 3.6 we can get a common bound of m for both condition I and condition II. But, from the computation of the next section, we know that for condition I the bound obtained by Lemma 3.4 is better than that obtained by Theorem 3.6 except for the cases $B=6, D=37$ and $B=2, D=61$, and for condition II, the bound obtained by Theorem 3.6 is better than that obtained by Lemma 3.5 except for the case $B=1, D=2$. The comparison of the results is listed in the following table.

Table 1.

number field F	Lemma 3.4	Lemma 3.5	Theorem 3.6
$B=1, D=2$	172.525	3253.539	16146.993
$B=2, D=13$	1173.677	45879.279	17321.1
$B=2, D=29$	48710.067	1867701099.860	192289.567
$B=6, D=37$	5284749.383	61546835.003	399362.147
$B=2, D=53$	114166.647	4086894943.478	1173787.115
$B=2, D=61$	180648285.891	1680328728.448	1789580.481

4. Decreasing the value m

4.1. **The general idea.** Let $F = \mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(D+B\sqrt{D})}\Big)$ be an imaginary cyclic quartic field with class number $h_F = 1$ and ξ the fundamental unit.

As Balabas and Gangl did, we also aim at decreasing theoretical bound of m practically. The general idea is as follows.

At first, by Lemma 3.2, we get the constants c_1, c_2, c'. Let $c'' = \min\{(1+c_N+c')^2, c_F\}$.

If $c'' \le c_F$, there exists an $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ satisfying $N(\mathcal{P}_{m_1}) \le c''$ and $N(\mathcal{P}_{m_1+1}) > c''$. Thus, by Lemma 3.4, for $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ satisfying $m > m_1$ and $c'' < N(\mathcal{P}_{m_1+1}) \le N(\mathcal{P}_m)$, condition I holds for m_1+1. We want to show that it holds also for m_1.

If $c_F \leq c''$, there exists an $m_1' \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ satisfying $N(\mathcal{P}_{m_1'}) \leq c''$ and $N(\mathcal{P}_{m_1'+1}) > c''$. By Theorem 3.6, the image of $K_2^{(m_1'+1)}\mathcal{O}$ in K_2F is equal to the tame kernel of F. However it is obvious that the image of $K_2^{(m_1'+1)}\mathcal{O}$ in K_2F is $\ker\Big(\partial : K_2^{S_{m_1'+1}}(F) \longrightarrow \coprod_{v\in S_{m_1'+1}} k_v^*\Big)$. So it is necessary to show condition I holds for m_1'. Without loss of generality, we denote m_1' also by m_1.

Similarly, from Lemma 3.5 or Theorem 3.6, there exists an $m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that condition II holds for m_2+1. We want to show that condition II holds also for m_2.

Then, for $m = m_1$ (resp. m_2), we will construct the subset G_{m-1}, W_{m-1} and C_{m-1} satisfying condition I (resp. condition II).

In this way, the value of m can be decreased step by step.

4.2. **Checking ∂_m to be an isomorphism.**

Our idea for checking ∂_m to be an isomorphism is described as follows.

(I) Constructing the subset W_{m-1}.

Let ξ be the fundamental unit. By (3.2), the subset

$$W_{m-1} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_{m-1}\} \bigcup \{-1, \xi\}$$

needs to be defined, where $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_F$ satisfies $\mathcal{P}_i = (\alpha_i)$ and $|\sigma(\xi)| \leq \left|\frac{\sigma(\alpha_i)}{\alpha_i}\right| \leq |\xi|$ for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m-1$.

However, firstly for some fixed $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, m-1\}$, we must confirm that the generator α_i of the prime ideal $\mathcal{P}_i$ satisfies that $\left|\frac{\sigma(\alpha_i)}{\alpha_i}\right|$ nearly equals 1. Fortunately, in the PARI library the function **GEN bnfisprincipal0(GEN bnf, GEN x, long flag)** can return such a generator α_i for the prime ideal $\mathcal{P}_i$. In fact, in the algorithm implemented by the above function, the generator has been reduced, which means that $\left|\frac{\sigma(\alpha_i)}{\alpha_i}\right|$ nearly equals 1.

Secondly, we must get such α_i for each $i \leq m-1$. Thus, we must get at first the prime ideals whose norms are less than or equal to the boundary determined by Lemma 3.4 (Lemma 3.5 respectively). In fact, for each prime number $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, it is easy to determine its residue class degree f_p and to obtain the prime ideals above it by the PARI function **GEN idealprimedec(GEN nf, GEN p, long f).** So by iterating through the prime numbers which can be factored into the prime ideals with norm less than the boundary, we can get the required $\alpha_i \in W_{m-1}$ for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m-1$.

(II) Constructing the subset G_{m-1}.

For the only element $g_{m-1} \in G_{m-1}$, we can know that

(i) $g_{m-1}(mod\,\mathcal{P}_m)$ is the only generator of the multiplicative cyclic group $k_{v_m}^*$ of the residue class field k_{v_m} by the second part of condition (ii) in Theorem 1.1;

(ii) the value $\left|\frac{g_{m-1}}{\sigma(g_{m-1})}\right|$ should nearly equal 1, by the proof of Lemma 3.5.

In the case of $f_{v_m} = 1$, it is obvious that

$$\langle g'_{m-1}(mod\,\mathcal{P}_m)\rangle = k_{v_m}^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/(\mathcal{P}_m \cup \mathbb{Z}) = \langle g'_{m-1}(mod\,(\mathcal{P}_m \cup \mathbb{Z}))\rangle,$$

where $g'_{m-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $g_{m-1} = g'_{m-1}$. Then we can get $G_{m-1} = \{g_{m-1}\}$ with $\left|\frac{g_{m-1}}{\sigma(g_{m-1})}\right| = 1$.

In the case of $f_{v_m} \neq 1$, by the PARI function **GEN Idealstar(GEN nf, GEN ideal, long flag)**, the generator $g_{m-1}(mod\,\mathcal{P}_m)$ of the cyclic group $k_{v_m}^*$ can be

obtained. So we can set $G_{m-1} = \{g_{m-1}\}$. It is easy to show that the above condition (i) and (ii) are satisfied for the only element g_{m-1} of the set G_{m-1}.

(III) Constructing the subset C_{m-1}.

By (3.2), the subset C_{m-1} contains the lifting of all elements of the multiplicative group $k_{v_m}^*$ and $1 \in F$. Moreover, by the proofs of Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, each element c_{m-1} of the set C_{m-1} should satisfy that the value $\left|\frac{c_{m-1}}{\sigma(c_{m-1})}\right|$ nearly equals 1.

We can get the generator $g_{m-1}(mod\,\mathcal{P}_m)$ of the group $k_{v_m}^*$, so each element $c_{m-1,i}(mod\,\mathcal{P}_m)$ of the group $k_{v_m}^*$ can be expressed as

$$c_{m-1,i}(mod\,\mathcal{P}_m) = (g_{m-1}(mod\,\mathcal{P}_m))^i$$

where $i = 1, 2, \cdots, N(v_m) - 1$. But it is difficult to find a lifting $c_{m-1,i}$ of the element $c_{m-1,i}(mod\,\mathcal{P}_m)$, which satisfies that the value $\left|\frac{c_{m-1,i}}{\sigma(c_{m-1,i})}\right|$ nearly equals 1. The method we use to get a suitable lifting can be shown as follows.

Firstly, let $c'_{m-1,i} = g_{m-1}^i - \beta\xi^k$ for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots, N(v_m) - 1$, where $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_F$ and k is nonnegative integer.

Secondly, when β runs through the elements of $\mathcal{O}_F$ in increasing order by norm and k runs through all nonnegative integers in increasing order, we can determine whether $c'_{m-1,i} \in \mathcal{P}_m$ is true. Thus we can get the minimum β and k such that $c'_{m-1,i} \in \mathcal{P}_m$ for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots, N(v_m) - 1$, and therefore $\beta\xi^k$ is a lifting of $c_{m-1,i}(mod\,\mathcal{P}_m)$. Hence, we can let $c_{m-1,i} = \beta\xi^k$.

Lastly, we can obtain the set $C_{m-1} = \{c_{m-1,i} | i = 1, 2, \cdots, N(v_m) - 1\} \bigcup \{1\}$.

(IV) Checking condition I(II).

After obtaining the subsets W_{m-1}, G_{m-1} and C_{m-1}, now we can check condition I(II). Fortunately for us, the PARI function **GEN bnfissunit(GEN bnf, GEN sfu, GEN x)** can help us to check whether $\gamma \in U_m$ is true for some $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_F$. Thus it is easy to write programme to check condition I(II) for the finite prime place v_m.

Using the above ideas, we can design the software architecture and algorithms and write a programm to compute some tame kernels $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$ for the cyclic quartic fields $F = \mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(D + B\sqrt{D})}\Big)$ with class number one.

4.3. **Designing the classes.**

It is well known that Tate' theorem is right for any number field. Thus we can build a software architecture to be extensible and reusable for computing the tame kernel of a general number field, with the cases of imaginary cyclic quartic fields with class number one as examples. So in the following computation, firstly we will focus on all objects instead of the process.

All of objects are as follows:

(1) the cyclic quartic field $F = \mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(D + B\sqrt{D})}\Big)$;
(2) the prime ideal v_m of the algebraic integral ring $\mathcal{O}_F$;
(3) the verification method which is used in this section;
(4) the group of S_m-units $U_m = \{a \in F | v(a) = 0, v \notin S_m\}$;
(5) three subsets C_{m-1}, W_{m-1} and G_{m-1} of U_{m-1} corresponding to v_m;
(6) the constants c_1, c_2 corresponding to F.

Then, according to the objects and the relationships among them, we design the following three classes:

(1) *CquarField* (an abstraction description of the field $F = \mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(D + B\sqrt{D})}\Big)$;



FIGURE 1. static class diagram

(2) *Cideal* (an abstraction description of the prime ideal v_m);
(3) *Ccheck* (an abstraction description of the verification method).

Moreover, the constants c_1, c_2 are regarded as the attributes of $CquarField$ and the sets C_{m-1},W_{m-1}, G_{m-1} as the the attributes of $Cideal$; an object of *CquarField* is regarded as an attribute of $Cideal$, which is actually an abstraction description about "prime ideal is subject to the cyclic quartic field F "; an object of *CquarField* is also regarded as an attribute of the class *Ccheck*, which means that "the verification method is corresponding to a given cyclic quartic field".

Summarily, the relations in the above descriptions can be indicated by the following UML static class diagram.

Remark 4.1. The reason why we use the Object-Oriented Programming(OOP) is that the architecture can be expanded. For example, if we can find a way to compute the tame kernel $K_2\mathcal{O}_{F_1}$ for another number field F_1, the only things we must do are:
(1) creating a class CF_1 corresponding to F_1;
(2) creating a class CF as the parent class of CF_1 and $CquarField$;
(3) making an object of CF as an attribute of $Cideal$ and $Ccheck$.

Thus, we have complete the creation of the embryonic form of the architecture. The last work is to implement the classes.

4.4. **The methods of the three classes.** By the theory of the Object Oriented Programming, a class is partitioned into three parts: the name, the attributes and the methods.

For the above three classes, we have designed their methods, which are listed as follows (The algorithms implemented by these methods will be described in the next section):

(i) The methods of $CquarField$:

```
/*return the constant c_1*/
GEN getc_1();
/*return the constant c_2*/
GEN getc_2();
/*return the transition matrix between the basises*/
GEN transMatrix();
```

```
/*return the bound determined by Lemma 3.4*/
GEN getBoundOne();
/*return the bound determined by Lemma 3.5*/
GEN getBoundTwo();
/*return all prime ideals whose norms are less than
*the bound which is determined by Lemma 3.4 (resp.Lemma 3.5)
*and corresponds to the parameter num_condition 1 (resp.2)*/
GEN getPrimeTable(int num_condition);
```

(ii) The methods of *Cideal*:

```
/*return the set W_{m-1} corresponding to the prime
*ideal represented by the class Cideal*/
GEN getSetInitW();

/*return the set G_{m-1} corresponding to the prime
*ideal represented by the class Cideal*/
GEN getSetInitG();

/*return all ideals whose norms are less than
*the norm of the prime ideal represented by
*the class Cideal*/
GEN fgetAllideal();

/*return the set C_{m-1} corresponding to the prime
*ideal represented by the  class Cideal;
*this is the parent thread function*/
GEN Para_getSetInitC();

/*This is the child thread function*/
static void* Part_getSetInitC(void *arg);

/*check  condition I corresponding to the prime ideal
*represented by the class Cideal*/
bool checkConditionOne();

/*check condition II corresponding to the prime ideal
*represented by the class Cideal*/
bool checkConditionTwo();

/*return the set U_{m} corresponding to the prime
*ideal represented by the class Cideal*/
GEN getUm();
```

(iii) The methods of *Ccheck*:

```
/*the parent thread function to check condition I */
bool Para_checkConditionOne(int num_thread);
```

```
/*the parent thread function to check condition II */
bool Para_checkConditionTwo(int num_thread);

/*the parent thread function to check condition I*/
static void* Part_checkConditionOne(void *arg);

/*the child thread function to check condition II*/
static void* Part_checkConditionTwo(void *arg).
```

4.5. **The algorithms implemented by the methods.**

4.5.1. *Some frequently-used algorithms.* During decreasing the value m, there are three things we must compute from time to time. The first one is to decompose a (positive) prime number p into prime ideals in the cyclic quartic field F, the second one is to obtain the generators of an ideal in the cyclic quartic field F and the third one is to get the condition of determining whether an element of $\mathcal{O}_F$ is in the group U_{m-1}. However, the three things can be done by using Lemma 4.2, and Algorithm 4.1 and Algorithm 4.2 below. Moreover, as is well known, Lemma 4.1 can be implemented by the PARI's functions **GEN idealprimedec(GEN nf, GEN p)** and Algorithm 4.1 and Algorithm 4.2 by **GEN bnfisprincipal0(GEN bnf, GEN x, long flag)** and **GEN bnfissunit(GEN bnf, GEN sfu, GEN x)**.

Lemma 4.2 (Theorem 4.8.13 ([8]))**.** *Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ ba a number field, where θ is an algebiaic integer, whose minimal polnomial is denoted $T(X)$. Let f be the index of θ. Then for any prime p not dividing f one can obtain the prime decomposition of $p\mathcal{O}_F$ as follows. Let*

$$T(X) \equiv \prod_{1}^{g} T_i(X)^{e_i} \pmod{p}$$

be the decomposition of T into irriducible factors in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$, where the $T_i(X)$ are taken to be monic. Then

$$p\mathcal{O}_F = \prod_{i=1}^{g} {\mathcal{P}_i}^{e_i},$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_i = (p, T_i(\theta)) = p\mathcal{O}_F + T_i(\theta)\mathcal{O}_F.$$

Furthermore, the residual index f_i is equal to the degree of $T_i(X)$.

Algorithm 4.1 (Algorithm 6.5.10 ([8]))

Require: Given an ideal I of $\mathcal{O}_F$ for a number field $F = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$.

Ensure: Test whether I is a principal ideal, and if it is,compute an $\alpha \in F$ such that $I = \alpha\mathcal{O}_F$.

1.[reduce to primitive] If I is not a primitive integral ideal, compute a rational number a such that $I/(a)$ is primitive integral, and set $I \leftarrow I/(a)$.

2.[Small norm] If $N(I)$ is divisible only by prime numbers below the prime ideals in the factor base, set $v_i \leftarrow 0$ for $i < s, \beta \leftarrow a$ and go to step 4.

3.[Generate random relations] Choose random nonnegative integers $v_i < 20$ for $i < s$,compute the ideal $I_1 \leftarrow I\prod_{1\le i\le s} S_i^{v_i}$, and let $J = I_1/(\gamma)$ be the ideal obtained by LLL-reducing I_1 along the direction of the zero vector. If $N(J)$ is divisible only bt the prime numbers less than equal to L_1, set $I \leftarrow J, \beta \leftarrow a\gamma$ and go to step 4. Otherwise, go to step 3.

4.[Factor I] Using Algorithm 4.8.17 in [8], factor I on the factor base FB. Let $I = \prod_{1\le i\le k} p_i^{x_i}$. Let X(resp.Y) be the column vector of the $x_i - v_i$ for $i \le r$(resp.$i > r$), where r is the number of rows of the matrix B, as above, and where we set $v_i = 0$ for $i > s$.

5.[Check if principal] Let $Z \leftarrow D^{-1}U(X - BY)$(since D is a diagonal matrix, no matrix inverse must be computed here). If some entry of Z is not integral, output a message saying that the ideal I is not a principal ideal and terminate the algorithm.

6.[Use Archimedean information] Let A be the (c_1+k)-column vector whose first c_1 elements are zero, whose next r elements are the elements of Z, and whose last $k - r$ elements are element of Y. Let $A_C = (a_i)_{1\le i\le r_u} \leftarrow M_C^{''}A$.

7.[Restore correct information] Set $s \leftarrow (\ln N(I)/n)$, and let $A' = (a_i')_{1\le i\le n}$ be defined by $a_i' \le \exp(s + a_i)$ if $i \le r_1, a_i' \leftarrow \exp(s + (a_{i-r_2}))$ if $r_u < i \le n$

8.[Round] Set $A'' \leftarrow \Omega^{-1}A'$ where $\Omega = \sigma_j(\omega_i)$ as in Algorithm 6.5.8 in[8]. The coefficients of A'' must be close to rational integers. If this is not the case, then either the precision used to make the computation was insufficient or the desired α is too large. Otherwise, round the coefficients of A'' to the nearest integer.

9.[Terminate] Let α' be the element of $\mathcal{O}_F$ whose coordinates in the integral basis are given by the vector A''. Set $\alpha \leftarrow \beta\alpha'$. If $I \ne \alpha\mathcal{O}_F$, output an error message stating that the accuracy is not sufficient to compute α. Otherwise, output α and terminate the algorithm.

Algorithm 4.2 (Algorithm 7.4.8 ([9]))

Let $\mathrm{Cl}(F) = (B, D_B)$ be the SNF of the class group of F, where $B = (\bar{\mathfrak{b}_i})$ and the $\mathfrak{b}_i$ are the ideals of F. The algorithm computes algebraic integers γ_i for $1 \leq i \leq s$ such that $U_S(F) = U(F) \oplus_{1 \leq i \leq s} \mathbb{Z}\gamma_i$. We let $\mathfrak{p}_i$ be the prime ideals of S.

1.[Compute discrete logarithms] Using the principal ideal algorithm, compute the matrix P whose columns are the discrete logarithms of $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ with respect to B, for each $\mathfrak{p} \in S$.

2.[Compute big HNF] Using one of the algorithms for HNF computations, compute the unimodular matrix $U = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \\ U_3 & U_4 \end{pmatrix}$ such that $(P|D_B)U = (0|H)$ with H in HNF.

3.[Compute $\gamma\mathcal{O}_F$] Compute the HNF W of the matrix U_1, and set $[a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_s] \leftarrow [\mathfrak{p}_1, \cdots, \mathfrak{p}_s]W$.

4.[Find generators](Here the a_j are principal ideals)Using the principal ideal algorithm again, for each j, find γ_j such that $a_j = \gamma_j\mathcal{O}_F$. Output the γ_j and terminate the algorithm.

4.5.2. *The algorithms implemented by the methods of CquarField.* In the class *Cquarfield*, by Lemma 2.3, it is easy to design an algorithm implemented by the method **GEN transMatrix()** which returns the transition matrix from the basis $1, \beta, \beta^2, \beta^3$ to the basis $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$; similarly, by Lemma 3.2, it is also easy to design algorithms implemented by **GEN getc_1()**, **GEN getc_2()** which return the constants c_1,

c_2 corresponding to the field $F = \mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(D + B\sqrt{D})}\Big)$; by Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, it is very easy to design an algorithm implemented by the methods **GEN getBoundOne()** and **GEN getBoundTwo()** which can be used to compute the bounds for condition I and condition II.

We must obtain all prime ideals whose norms are less than the bounds for condition I and condition II, which can be realized by the method **GEN getPrimeTable(int num_condition)** of *CquarField*.

In fact, let $b_1 = N(v_{m_0})$, $b_2 = N(v_{m_0'})$, and let

$$T_{F,i} = \{p = \mathfrak{P} \cap \mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{Z} | N(\mathfrak{P}) < b_i, \mathfrak{P} \in \text{spec}\mathcal{O}_F\},$$
$$T'_{F,i} = \{\mathfrak{P} \in \text{spec}\mathcal{O}_F | N(\mathfrak{P}) < b_i\}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where spec$\mathcal{O}_F$ denotes the set of prime ideals of the cyclic quartic field F. To obtain the above sets, we design the following Algorithm 4.3 which can be implemented by the method **GEN getPrimeTable(int num_condition)** of *CquarField*.

Algorithm 4.3 (The algorithm on **getPrimeTable()**)

1.[Obtain the bound on norm] By Lemma 4.5, Lemma 4.6 and Theorem 4.7, the bound on norm b_1(resp.b_2) satisfying condition I (resp. condition II) can be obtained.

2.[Obtain the set $T_{F,1}$(resp.$T_{F,2}$)] Using the PARI' function GEN factoru(ulong n), the factorization of n can be returned. Moreover, the result is a 2-component vector [P, E], where P and E are the prime divisors of n and the valuation of n at prime point p respectively.

3.[Obtain the sets $T'_{F,1}$(resp.$T'_{F,2}$)] Using Algorithm 1, for any $k = p^s \in T'_{F,1}$(resp.$T'_{F,2}$), the factoration ideals $\mathcal{P}_i$ of p can be obtained. By comparing the value s with the norm of the ideal $\mathcal{P}_i$, the elements of the set $T'_{F,1}$(resp.$T'_{F,2}$) can be obtained.

4.5.3. *The algorithms implemented by the methods of Cideal.* In the class *Cideal*, the method **GEN getSetInitG()** can be used to compute the set G_{m-1} corresponding to the object, prime ideal v_m, of **Cideal**. We can easily finish the codes of the method **GEN getSetInitG()** by using the PARI's functions **GEN znstar(GEN n)** and **GEN idealstar0(GEN nf, GEN I, long flag)**, because the two functions have implemented the following well-known Algorithm 4.4.

In order to obtain the set W_{m-1} corresponding to the prime ideal v_m, we design the method **GEN getSetInitW()** of *Cideal*. In the process of realizing this

Algorithm 4.4 (Algorithm 4.2.2 ([9]))

Let $m_0 = \prod_{\mathfrak{P}} \mathfrak{P}^{v_{\mathfrak{P}}}$ be an integral ideal, and assume that we are given the SNF of $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{P}^{v_{\mathfrak{P}}})^* = (G_{\mathfrak{P}}, D_{\mathfrak{P}})$. The algorithm computes the SNF of $(\mathcal{O}_F/m_0)^*$.
1.[Compute $\alpha_{\mathfrak{P}}$ and $\beta_{\mathfrak{P}}$] Using Extended Euclid Algorithm in Dedekind Domains (Algorithm 1.3.2 ([9])), compute $\alpha_{\mathfrak{P}}$ and $\beta_{\mathfrak{P}}$ such that $\alpha_{\mathfrak{P}} \in m_0/\mathfrak{P}^{v_{\mathfrak{P}}}, \beta_{\mathfrak{P}} \in \mathfrak{P}^{v_{\mathfrak{P}}}$ and $\alpha_{\mathfrak{P}} + \beta_{\mathfrak{P}} = 1$.
2.[Terminate] Let G be the concatenation of the $\beta_{\mathfrak{P}} 1_{\mathcal{O}_F} + \alpha_{\mathfrak{P}} G_{\mathfrak{P}}$ and let D be the diagonal concatenation of the SNF matrices $D_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Using the algorithm of SNF for Finite Groups (Algorithm 4.1.3 ([9])) on the system of generators and relations (G, D), output the SNF of the group $(\mathcal{O}_F/m_0)^*$ and the auxiliary matrix U_α, and terminate the algorithm.

method, we use the PARI's function **GEN ideallist0(GEN nf, long bound, long flag)**, because they have implemented the following Algorithm 4.5 and returned all ideals whose norms are less than the value **bound**. We also give Algorithm 4.6, which outputs the set W_{m-1} and is implemented by the method **GEN getSetInitW()**, as follows.

Algorithm 4.5 (Algorithm 2.3.23 ([9]))

Let K be a number field and B be a positive integer. The algorithm outputs a list $\mathcal{L}$ such that for each $n \leq B$, $\mathcal{L}_n$ is the list of all integral ideals of absolute norm equal to n.
1.[Initialize]For $2 \leq n \leq B$ set $\mathcal{L}_N \leftarrow \emptyset$, then set $\mathcal{L}_1 \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_K$ and $p \leftarrow 0$.
2.[Next prime]Replace p by the smallest prime strictly larger than p. If $p > B$, output $\mathcal{L}$ and terminate the algorithm.
3.[Factor $\mathbb{O}_F$] Using Algorithm 6.2.9 in [8], factor $p\mathcal{O}_K$ as $p\mathcal{O}_K = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq g} \mathcal{P}_i^{e_i}$ with $e_i \geq 1$, and let $f_i = f(\mathcal{P}_i|p)$. Set $j \leftarrow 0$.
4.[Next prime ideal] Set $j \leftarrow j + 1$. If $j > g$, go to step 2. Otherwise, set $q \leftarrow p^{f_j}, n \leftarrow 0$.
5.[Loop through all multiples of q] Set $n \leftarrow n + q$. If $n > B$, go to step 4. Otherwise,set $\mathcal{L}_n \leftarrow \mathcal{L}_n \cup p_j \mathcal{L}_{n/q}$, where $\mathcal{L}_n$ is the list of products by the ideal p_j of the elements of $\mathcal{L}_{n/q}$ and go to step 5.

Algorithm 4.6 (The algorithm on **getSetInitW()**)

1.[Initialize] For the prime ideal v_m set $W_{m-1} \leftarrow \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{I} \leftarrow \emptyset$.
2.[Obtain ideals which nrom are less than $N(v_m)$] Using the PARI's function **GEN ideallist0(GEN nf, long bound, long flag)**, all of ideals whose norms are less than $N(v_m)$ can be obtained. Then put them into the set $\mathcal{I}$
3.[Obtain all prime ideals whose norm are less than $N(v_m)$] By looping through the set $\mathcal{I}$ and checking the structure of the ideal returned by PARI'function, we can get all prime ideals whose norm are less than $N(v_m)$.
4.[Obtain the set W_{m-1}] For the prime ideal $\mathcal{P}_i$, by using the PARI's function prime ideals whose norms are less than $N(v_m)$, the generator α_i can be returned. Then set $W_{m-1} \leftarrow \alpha_i$, where $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$.

In the process of obtaining the sets G_{m-1}, W_{m-1} and C_{m-1}, the most difficult thing is the computation of C_{m-1}, because we meet the following two difficulties:

(i) The set C_{m-1} is too large when $N(v_m)$ is large since we have $|C_{m-1}| = N(v_m) - 1$;

(ii) we know that the set C_{m-1} consists of the representatives of some elements in $k^*_{v_m}$, but we can not ensure that the set C_{m-1} must satisfy condition I and condition II under arbitrary-chosen representatives.

To overcome these difficulties, we use the method of traversal but with the choice of representatives in a conjecturally right way.

Firstly, we find that the element $c \in C_{m-1}$ should be "some shortest distance point" in "some distance" of the set $c + v_m$. So in order to look for the right $c \in C_{m-1}$, we set the range from an element whose norm is one.

Secondly, it is our choice to take full advantage of multi-core processor hardware performance to reduce the computation time. Thus, we must use the technology of the multi-threaded parallel computing to improve the speed of Algorithm 4.7 obtaining the set C_{m-1} as follows.

Algorithm 4.7 (The algorithm on **getSetInitC()**)

Let v_m be a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$. The algorithm outputs a set C_{m-1} satisfying the following conditions:

(i) The set C_{m-1} consists of the representatives of some elements in $(k_{v_m})^*$;

(ii) For any element $c \in C_{m-1}$, the equation $N(c) = min\{N(c + t\alpha_m)|t \in \mathcal{O}_F\}$ holds.

1.[Initialize] Set num_good$\leftarrow$ 0 and $C_{m-1} \leftarrow \emptyset$. Invoking the methods **GEN getc_1()** and **GEN getc_2()**, we can get the constant numbers c_1 and c_2, respectively. Moreover, invoking the PARI's function **GEN ideallist0(GEN nf, long bound, long flag)** and **long pr_get_f(GEN pr)**, we can get the residue class degree f_m of v_m and the set C'_{m-1} of all ideals whose norms are less than or equal to $(c_1c_2)^2N(v_m)$, respectively. Invoking the method **GEN Cideal::getSetInitG()**, we can get the unique element $g \in G_{m-1}$. Lastly, set num_C$' \leftarrow |C'_{m-1}|$ and num_C$\leftarrow N(V_m) - 1$.

2.[Compare num_good with num_C] If num_good=num_C holds, the algorithm return C_{m-1} and is terminated.

3.[Set the germs of C_{m-1}] For $1 \le i \le num_C$, if $f_m = 1$ set c_i$\leftarrow i$. Otherwise, set c_i$\leftarrow g^i$.

4.[Look for the appropriate elements in C_{m-1}] For $1 \le j \le num_C'$ and $1 \le k \le 70$, set $c'_j \leftarrow C'_{m-1}[j]$. Then invoke the **PARI**'s function **long idealval(GEN nf, GEN x, GEN pr)** to decide whether or not $c_i - c'_j\xi^k$ is in U_m. Let the function's returned value be b. If $b > 0$, set $c_i \leftarrow c'_j\xi^k$ and $num_good \leftarrow num_good + 1$, and go to step 2; otherwise, set $j \leftarrow j + 1$ and $k \leftarrow k + 1$.

In the class *Cideal*, the methods **GEN Para_getSetInitC()** and **static void* Part_getSetInitC(void *arg)** are the parent thread and the child thread respectively. Using these methods, we can obtain the set C_{m-1} corresponding to the prime ideal v_m. Moreover we can change the number of the child threads with different computer's hardware.

In the class *Cideal*, the two methods introduced above are **bool Cideal::checkConditionOne()** and **bool Cideal::checkConditionTwo()**. As a result, conditions I and condition II can be verified respectively for the prime ideal v_m by the two methods, which implement respectively Algorithm 4.8 and Algorithm 4.9 below.

Algorithm 4.8 (The algorithm on **checkConditionOne()**)

Let v_m be a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$. The algorithm check whether or not condition I is hold for v_m.
1.[Initialize] Set num_good$\leftarrow$ 0. Invoking the methods **GEN getSetInitW()**, **GEN getSetInitC()**and **getUm()**, we can get the sets W_{m-1}, C_{m-1} and U_m, respectively. Moreover, we can get the cardinal numbers of the sets W_{m-1}, C_{m-1}, denoted by num_W and num_C, respectively.
2.[Compare num_good with num_W] If num_good=num_W holds, the algorithm returns true and is terminated.
3.[Loop through all element in SetW] For $1 \leq i \leq num_W$, set w_i$\leftarrow$ $W_{m-1}[i]$.
4.[Look for the appropriate element c **in setC]** For $1 \leq j \leq num_C$, set c_j$\leftarrow$ $C_{m-1}[j]$. Invoking the **PARI**'s function **GEN bnfissunit(GEN bnf, GEN sfu, GEN x)** and getting it's returned value b, we can decide whether or not $\frac{w_i}{c_j} - 1$ is in U_m. More precisely, if $b > 0$, set $num_good \leftarrow num_good + 1$ and go to step 2; otherwise, set j$\leftarrow$ $j + 1$.

Algorithm 4.9 (The algorithm on **checkConditionTwo()**)

Let v_m be a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$. The algorithm check whether or not condition II holds for v_m.
1.[Initialize] Set num_good$\leftarrow$ 0. Invoking the methods **GEN getSetInitG()**, **GEN getSetInitC()**and **getUm()**, we can get the sets $G_{m-1} = \{g\}, C_{m-1}$ and U_m, respectively. Moreover, we can get the cardinal number of the set C_{m-1}. denoted by num_C.
2.[Compare num_good with num_C] If num_good=num_C holds, the algorithm returns true and is terminated.
3.[Loop through all element in SetC] For $1 \leq i \leq num_C$, set c_i$\leftarrow$ $C_{m-1}[i]$.
4.[Look for the appropriate element c' **in setC]** For $1 \leq j \leq num_C$, set c'_j$\leftarrow$ $C_{m-1}[j]$. Invoking the **PARI**'s function **GEN bnfissunit(GEN bnf, GEN sfu, GEN x)** and getting it's returned value b, we can decide whether or not $\frac{c_i}{gc'_j} - 1$ is in U_m where g is the unique element in set G_{m-1}. More precisely, if $b > 0$, set $num_good \leftarrow num_good + 1$ and go to step 2; otherwise, set j$\leftarrow$ $j + 1$.

4.5.4. *The algorithms implemented the methods of Ccheck.* The class *Ccheck* is mainly used to decrease the value m for a cyclic quartic field F through verifying condition I (resp.condition II) for every prime ideal v whose norm is less than b_1(resp.b_2). We know that for a cyclic quartic field F, if its discriminant is large the value m may be very large. So in the methods implementing the following Algorithm 4.10, the multi-threaded parallel computing must be used in order to improve the speed of the programm.

Algorithm 4.10 (On **checkConditionOne()**(resp.**checkConditionTwo()**))

1.[Initialize] Set $num_good \leftarrow 0$. Invoke the method **GEN getPrimeTable(int num_condition)** to obtain the set $vec_allPrime$ of all prime ideals whose norms are less than the bound determined by Lemma 3.4 (resp.Lemma 3.5).
Set $num_allPrime \leftarrow |vec_allPrime|$.
2.[Compare num_good with num_allPrime]
If $num_good = num_allPrime$ holds, the algorithm returns true and is terminated.
3.[Verify Condition I(reps.Condition II) to decrease the value m]
For $num_allPrime \geq m \geq 1$, invoke the method **bool Cideal::checkConditionOne()** (resp. **bool Cideal::checkConditionTwo()**) whose returned value is *bool_one* (reps.*bool_two*). If *bool_one*(reps.*bool_two*) is true, set $num_good \leftarrow num_good + 1$ and go to step 2; otherwise, the algorithm returns false and is terminated.

4.5.5. *The main function of the computation on tame kernel.* Now, based on Object Oriented Programming(OOP), the construction of classes have been completed. After this, it is very easy to implement the mothod **main()** in order to compute the tame kernel. So, we need only write few of codes as follows.

```
/*initialize PARI's environment*/
pari_init(1024*1024*1024, 200000);

 /*create a Ccheck object corresponding to
 *the field F=\sqrt{-(13+2\sqrt{13})}*/
Ccheck* check=new Ccheck(-1,2,3,13);

/*verify condition I where the thread
*number is 2*/
check->Para_checkConditionOne(2);
//check->Para_checkConditionTwo(2);
/*quit the PARI's environment*/
pari_close();
```

Remark 4.3. For the field $F = \mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(2+\sqrt{2})}\Big)$ (resp. $F = \mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(5+\sqrt{5})}\Big)$), in order to decrease the value m, we need only to set the values of the parameters of the constructor **Ccheck(int a,int b,int,c,int d)** as $a = -1, b = 1, c = 1, d = 2$ (resp. $a = -1, b = 1, c = 2, d = 5$).

5. The proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $F = \mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(D+B\sqrt{D})}\Big)$ be an imaginary cyclic quartic field. For the case $B = 1, D = 2$, invoking the method **GEN CquarField::getBou ndOne()**(resp.**GEN CquarField::getBoundOne()**) we can know that for the prime ideals whose norms are greater than or equal to 172.525 (resp. 3253.529), condition I (resp. condition II) holds. Moreover, by invoking the method **bool Ccheck::Para_checkCon dition One(int num_thread)** (resp. **bool Ccheck::Par a_checkConditionOne**

(int num_thread)), it is proved that for the prime ideals whose norms are less than 172.525 (resp. 3253.529), condition I (resp.condition II) holds also.

Similarly, for the case $B = 2, D = 13$ we can show that (i) the bound determined by Lemma 3.4 (resp. Theorem 3.6) is $1173.678\cdots$ (resp. $17321.751\cdots$); (ii) for the prime ideals whose norms are less than $1173.678\cdots$(resp. $17321.751\cdots$), condition I (resp.condition II) holds.

For $F = \mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(2+\sqrt{2})}\Big)$ or $\mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(13+2\sqrt{13})}\Big)$, by PARI/GP, we know that the torsion element is only -1. Hence, it is easy to show that $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$ can be generated by the two elements of order 2: $\{-1,-1\},\{-1,\xi\}$, where ξ is a fundamental unit of F.

However, in [3], Browkin proved the following formula:

$$2\text{-rank}K_2\mathcal{O}_F = r_1(F) + g(2) - 1 + 2\text{-rank}\Big(\mathrm{Cl}(F)/\mathrm{Cl}_2(F)\Big),$$

where $r_1(F)$ is the number of real places of $F, g(2)$ the number of primes over 2, and $\mathrm{Cl}(F)$ the class group of F. It is well known that $\mathrm{Cl}(F) = 1$ and that by $PARI/GP$, there is only one prime in $\mathcal{O}_F$ lying over 2. So the formula takes the form:

$$2\text{-rank}K_2\mathcal{O}_F = 0 + 1 - 1 + 0 = 0.$$

Hence there is no element of order 2. Thus the tame kernel $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$ is trivial. The proof is completed.

Remark 5.1. For $F = \mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(13+2\sqrt{13})}\Big)$, we keep a record of every C_{m-1} in some text files, which can be found in http://pan.baidu.com/s/1kVnSOCn.

References

1. H. Bass and J. Tate, *The Milnor ring of a global field*, Algebraic K-theory, II: Classical algebraic K-theory and connections with arithmetic (Proc. Conf., Seattle, Wash., Battelle Memorial Inst., 1972), Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 349C446. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 342.
2. K. Belabas and H. Gangl, *Generators and relations for $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$*, K-Theory **31** (2004), no.3, 195-231.
3. J. Browkin, *The functor K_2 of the ring of integers of a number field,* in: Universal Algebra and Application, Banach Center Publ. 9. PWN, Warszawa, 1982,187-195.
4. J. Browkin and H. Gangl, *Tame and wild kernels of quadratic imaginary number fields,* Math.Comp. **68**(1999) No.225, 291-306.
5. J. Browkin, *Computing the tame kernel of quadratic imaginary number fields,* Math. Comp, **69**(2000) No.232, 1667-1683.
6. J. Browkin, *Construction of elements in Bloch group,* preprint.
7. J. Browkin, *Tame kernel of cubic cyclic fields,* Math. Comp, **74**(2004) No.250, 967-999.
8. H. Cohen, *A course in computational algebraic number theory*, Graduate Texts in Methematics, **138**, Springer(1995).
9. H. Cohen, *Advanced topics in computational algebraic number theory*, Graduate Texts in Methematics, **193**, Springer(1995).
10. H. Garland, *A finiteness theorem for K_2 of a number field,* Ann. of Math. (2) 94 (1971), 534-548.
11. Richard. P. Georgenewegen, *Bounds for computing the tame kernel,* Math.Comp. **73**(2003) No.247, 1443-1458.
12. X. Guo, H. Qin and G. Song, *Computing the tame kernel of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$*, Comm. Algebra **31**(2003), no.2, 645-656.
13. K. Hardy, R. H. Hudson, D. Richman, K. S. Williams& N. M. Holtz, *Calculatin of the class numbers of imaginary cyclic quartic fields,* Carleton-Ottawa Mathematical Lecture Note Series 7(1986), pp 201.

14. R. H. Hudson, K.S. Williams *The integers of a cyclic quartic field,* Math.Comp. **20**(1990) No.1, 145-150.
15. F. Keune, *On the structure of K_2 of the ring of integers in number fields,* K-theory **2**(1989), 625-645.
16. S. Prata, *C++ prime plus*, Pearson Education Inc.
17. H. Qin, *Computation of $K_2\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$,* J.Pure Appl.Algebra. **96**(1994),133–146.
18. H. Qin, *Computation of $K_2\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1+\sqrt{-35}}{2}]$,* Chin.Ann. of Math. 17B(1996), 63-72.
19. D. Quillen, *Higher K-Theory I*, In: Algebraic K-Theory I. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **341**, 85-147, Springer (1973).
20. M. Skałba, *Generalization of Thue's theorem and computation of the group K_2O_F,* J. Number Theory. **46**(1994), no. 3, 303-322.
21. J. Tate, *Appendix,* Springer L.N.M. **342**(1973), 429-446.
22. The PARI Group, PARI/GP version `2.7.5`, Bordeaux, 2014, http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/.
23. L. Zhang and K. Xu, *The tame kernel of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ is trivial*, Math. Comp. **85**(2016) No.299, 1523-1538.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Qingdao University, Qingdao 266071, P.R. China; Institute of Applied Mathematics of Shandong, Qingdao University, Qingdao 266071, P.R. China

E-mail address: `zhanglong_note@hotmail.com`

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Qingdao University, Qingdao 266071, P.R. China; Institute of Applied Mathematics of Shandong, Qingdao University, Qingdao 266071, P.R. China

E-mail address: `kejianxu@amss.ac.cn`